

September 18, 2025

To, **BSE Limited**

Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers, Dalal Street, Mumbai 400001

Scrip Code : 507205

To.

National Stock Exchange of India Limited

Exchange Plaza, C-1, Block-G, Bandra-Kurla Complex, Bandra (East), Mumbai-400 051.

Symbol: TI

Sub: Intimation under Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015

Dear Sir/Madam,

Pursuant to Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, in furtherance to our earlier disclosure letter dated February 08, 2025, March 06, 2025 and July 17, 2025, we wish to inform you regarding an order dated 16th September, 2025 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, as per the details mentioned in **Annexure 1**.

We would like to inform you in this regard that by the Order dated September 16, 2025, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has upheld the Order dated July 16, 2025 passed by the Division Bench of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in favour of the Company (TI) and disposed off the Special Leave Petitions filed by UTO Nederland B.V challenging the same.

Consequently, TI continues to exclusively manufacture and market alcoholic beverages under the marks MANSION HOUSE and SAVOY CLUB in India and UTO Nederland B.V. ("UTO")/Allied Blenders and Distilleries Limited "ABD") continue to be restrained by an order and injunction from marketing alcoholic beverages under the marks MANSION HOUSE and SAVOY CLUB in India till disposal of the suit filed by UTO/ABD against TI and counter claim filed by TI against UTO/ABD before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India vide the Order dated September 16, 2025 has also directed that the concerned trial court shall conclude the trial of the suit within a period of six months from the next date of the hearing.

Corp. Office: Industrial Assurance Building, 3rd Floor, Churchgate, Mumbai, Maharashtra – 400 020, India P +91 (22) 2283 1716/18 F+91 (22) 2204 6904 E tiliquor@tilind.com

CIN: L15420PN1933PLC133303

Regd. Office: P.O. Tilaknagar, Tal. Shrirampur, Dist. Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra - 413 720, India P+91 (2422) 265 123 / 265 032 F+91 (2422) 265 135 E regoff@tilind.com



The above disclosure is available on the website of the Company www.tilind.com.

We request you to kindly take the above on your record.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

For Tilaknagar Industries Ltd.

Minuzeer Bamboat Company Secretary and Compliance Officer

Corp. Office: Industrial Assurance Building, 3rd Floor, Churchgate, Mumbai, Maharashtra – 400 020, India P +91 (22) 2283 1716/18 F +91 (22) 2204 6904 E tiliquor@tilind.com

CIN: L15420PN1933PLC133303

Regd. Office: P.O. Tilaknagar, Tal. Shrirampur,
Dist. Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra - 413 720, India
P +91 (2422) 265 123 / 265 032 F+91 (2422) 265 135
E regoff@tilind.com



Annexure 1

The details required as per Schedule III of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 are as follows:

Sr. No.	Description	Details
1.	Parties to the Litigation	
	Special Leave Application bearing no. 25729/25 a) Applicant Party	Herman Jansen Beverages Nederland B.V, Allied Blenders & Distillers Private Limited and others
	b) Defendant Party	Tilaknagar Industries Limited.
	Special Leave Application bearing no. 25071 and 25072 of 2025 a) Applicant Party	Herman Jansen Beverages Nederland B.V, Allied Blenders & Distillers Private Limited and others
	b) Defendant Party	Tilaknagar Industries Limited.
2.	Court where litigation is filed	Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, Delhi.
3.		Vide common orders dated September 16, 2025, in the above-mentioned Special Leave Applications, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has: Dismissed as disposed off at the pre-admission stage, the two SLPs, filed by Herman Jansen Beverages Nederland B.V, Allied Blenders & Distillers Private Limited and others against Tilaknagar Industries Ltd., challenging the order dated 16th July, 2025 passed by the Division Bench of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court. The result of the order dated 16th September, 2025, passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, is that the order dated 16th July, 2025 passed by the Division Bench of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court now stands upheld and confirmed and the suit filed by UTO/ABD against TI along with the counter

Corp. Office: Industrial Assurance Building, 3rd Floor, Churchgate, Mumbai, Maharashtra – 400 020, India P +91 (22) 2283 1716/18 F +91 (22) 2204 6904 E tiliquor@tilind.com

CIN: L15420PN1933PLC133303

Regd. Office: P.O. Tilaknagar, Tal. Shrirampur,
Dist. Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra - 413 720, India
P +91 (2422) 265 123 / 265 032 F+91 (2422) 265 135
E regoff@tilind.com



		claim filed by TI against UTO/ABD in the same suit has been expedited. By a combined Judgement and Order dated July 16, 2025, the Division Bench of the Hon'ble Bombay
		High Court had: • upheld the Judgement and Order dated
		December 22, 2011 passed by a Single Judge and consequently dismissed the appeal filed by UTO Nederland B.V. ("UTO") (predecessor of Allied Blenders and Distilleries Limited "ABD") as a result of which UTO failed to obtain an interlocutory injunction, restraining TI from using the brands MANSION HOUSE & SAVOY CLUB and TI's exclusive and continuous usage of the concerned brands was continued. • the Division Bench had also set aside the Judgement and Order dated February 7, 2025 passed by Learned Single in favour of ABD and allowed the two Appeals filed by Tilaknagar Industries Limited ("TI"), as a result of which TI had been granted an interlocutory injunction against ABD/UTO and others from using the brands MANSION HOUSE & SAVOY CLUB in India and the leave granted to ABD to manufacture and sell the concerned brands in the State of West Bengal was rejected by the Division Bench of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court.
4.	Expected Financial Implication	This order passed by the Supreme Court of India has till the disposal of the suit and counter-claim, upheld the ownership and proprietary rights of TI under the trademarks MANSION HOUSE & SAVOY CLUB, while allowing TI to continue its uninterrupted, exclusive use and sale of the brands MANSION HOUSE & SAVOY CLU., UTO & ABD continue to be restrained from using and/or launching the brand MANSION HOUSE & SAVOY CLUB in all of India by protecting exclusive usage rights in favour of TI.
5.	Quantum of Claim	Nil.

Corp. Office: Industrial Assurance Building, 3rd Floor, Churchgate, Mumbai, Maharashtra – 400 020, India P +91 (22) 2283 1716/18 F+91 (22) 2204 6904 E tiliquor@tilind.com

CIN: L15420PN1933PLC133303

Regd. Office: P.O. Tilaknagar, Tal. Shrirampur,
Dist. Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra - 413 720, India
P +91 (2422) 265 123 / 265 032 F+91 (2422) 265 135
E regoff@tilind.com

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

PETITION(S) FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (C) NO(S).25071-25072/2025 [ARISING OUT OF IMPUGNED FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER DATED 16-07-2025 IN CAL NO. 6617/2025 16-07-2025 IN CAL NO. 6622/2025 PASSED BY THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY]

HERMAN JANSEN BEVERAGES NEDERLAND B.V & ORS.

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

TILAKNAGAR INDUSTRIES LTD

RESPONDENT(S)

FOR ADMISSION AND I.R.

IA NO. 219140/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA NO. 224108/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

IA NO. 219141/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE SYNOPSIS AND LIST OF DATES

WITH

SLP(C) NO. 25729/2025 (IX)

IA NO. 224048/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA NO. 227581/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

IA NO. 224050/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE SYNOPSIS AND LIST OF DATES

Date: 16-09-2025 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE B.V. NAGARATHNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. MAHADEVAN

For Petitioner(s): Mr. Kapil Sibal, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Neeraj Krishan Kaul, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Akhil Sibal, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Priyank Kapadia, Adv.

Mr. Shiv Vinayak Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Sheena Taqui, Adv.

Ms. Akansha Saini, Adv.

Mrs. Bina Gupta, AOR

M/S. Karanjawala & Co., AOR

Mr. Darius Khambata, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Ashish Kamat, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Akhil Sibal, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Adv.

Mr. Priyank Kapadia, Adv.

Ms. Aakriti Vohra, Adv.



Mr. Jappanpreet Hora, Adv.

Ms. Megha Dugar, Adv.

Mr. Tribhuvan N Singh, Adv.

Mr. K. Premchander, Adv.

Mr. Achyut Tewari, Adv.

Ms. Krisha Baweja, Adv.

For Respondent(s): Mr. H. N. Salve, Sr. Adv.

Mr. H N Salve, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.

Dr. A M Singhvi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Dama Seshadari Naidu, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Madhavi Divan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Ankur Saigal, Adv.

Mr. Himanshu Kane, Adv.

Ms. Rukmani Bobde, Adv.

Ms. Akanksha Kaul, Adv.

Mr. Ashutosh Kane, Adv.

Ms. Vedangi Soman, Adv.

Ms. Aashtha Sharma, Adv.

Mr. E. C. Agrawala, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

We have heard learned senior counsel for the petitioners and learned senior counsel for the respondents.

We have perused the material on record including the impugned order in great detail.

We find that the impugned order is by way of an interim arrangement which has been passed by the Division Bench of the High court.

The suit and the counter claim are still pending and are at large. However, we find having regard to the issues which arise in these Special Leave Petitions as well as in the suit and the counter-claim, the concerned trial Court shall conclude the trial of the suit within a period of six months from the next date of hearing before the trial

Court.

It is needless to observe that the respective parties and their counsel shall cooperate with the concerned trial court for expeditious disposal of the suit.

The trial Court may also endeavour to dispose of the suit as well as the counter-claim within the aforesaid time frame. If necessary, to post it on a day-to-day or a week-to-week basis.

We also say that any observation which has been made in the impugned order is limited for the purpose of disposing of the appeal by the Division Bench of the High Court and the same shall not in any way influence the concerned trial Court.

It is also clarified that the trial Court shall decide the suit and the counter claim on the basis of the evidence to be recorded and on its own merits.

We further say that the applications for rectification, which have been made by both the parties, shall be considered on its own merits.

Special Leave Petitions are disposed in the aforesaid terms.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(B. LAKSHMI MANIKYA VALLI)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(DIVYA BABBAR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)